

**Comparison between Isolate gradient and swim-up procedures for sperm preparation: Effects on freeze-thawing in normal semen sample**

**Byeong Jun Jung, M.D. Hee Kyung Sohn, B.S Eung Soo Lee, M.D**

*Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, College of Medicine, Inje University, IlsanPaik Hospital, Kyunggi Do, Korea*

Sperm preparation methods are currently available to select motile sperm include swim-up<sup>1)</sup>, continuous or discontinuous Percoll gradient<sup>2)</sup> and glass wool fiber filtration<sup>3)</sup>.

Conventionally, the Percoll technique, which separates spermatozoa according to their density, favours the isolation of the motile and morphological normal spermatozoa. It is likely that Percoll centrifugation separates sperm on the basis of density, selecting sperm with good nuclear morphology that are more dense. The Swim-up technique, however, separates the sample into motile and non-motile fractions.

Numerous reports provide evidence to support the superiority of these two methods. Some investigators reported that the Percoll gradient was superior to the swim-up<sup>4-6)</sup>. However, other investigators found that regarding motility and morphology<sup>7-8)</sup> swim-up selected sperm of higher quality than those from the Percoll gradient.

Recently, it has been reported that Percoll is no longer recommended for use in Assisted Reproduction procedures in humans. Because Percoll uses silica particles coated with PVP, it is slightly toxic and tends to loosen from the silica in salt solution. It can create genetic problems. Therefore, it is necessary to substitute Percoll for other equivalent materials. Thus, in this study we used the Isolate gradient method instead of Percoll. Isolate, a density gradient (50%, 90%), is a processed colloidal suspension of silica particles stabilized with covalently bound hydrophilic silane in a HEPES-buffered HTF. Isolate gradient is less harmful than Percoll in the preparation of sperm.

Several reports have shown that cryopreservation is detrimental effects on sperm motility and morphology<sup>9-10)</sup>. However, there are few reports on the effects of freeze-thawing.

No previous studies have documented a comparison between an Isolate gradient and swim-up for sperm preparation on sperm parameters, morphology and their effects on the freeze-thawing procedure in normal sperm. This study will establish and compare the sperm preparation methods in normal sperm and abnormal sperm.

:           byeongjj@ilsanpaik.ac.kr

2000

(00012000229)

## **Materials and Methods**

### **Materials**

From October 2000 to Jan 2001, samples were taken from twenty normal males who were visited IVF clinics. The sperm parameters were analyzed by SAIS(Sperm Analysis Imaging System; Plus version 10.0; Mecal Supply Co). The sperm movement characteristics are described below:

Curvilinear Velocity(VCL) is the total distance travelled divided by the total time the cell was tracked. Straight-Line Velocity(VSL) is the straight line distance between the start and end of the observed track. Average Path Velocity(VAP) is the velocity along the average path of the spermatozoon. The progression ratios, expressed as integer percentages, linearity can be calculated by  $VSL/VCL \times 100$ . Amplitude of Lateral Head Displacement(ALH) is calculated from maximal deviation of the sperm head from the mean trajectory.

### **Methods**

#### **1) Swim-up**

Semen was mixed gently with an equal volume of Ham's F-10 fortified with 10% SSS(serum substitute supplement, Irvine scientific) and centrifuged at 1000rpm for 10 minutes.

The pellet was resuspended in the same medium, layered on top with approximately 0.2ml of medium, and incubated for 1 hour at 37 °C. The upper one-third of the supernatant was then collected.

## **2) Isolate method**

Using a sterile pipette, 1.5Ml of the lower layer was transferred into a sterile conical tube. Using a new sterile pipette, an equal volume of the upper layer was transferred on top of the lower layer. Liquefied semen(1.5Ml) was placed into the upper layer. The layers were centrifuged for 20 minutes at approximately 1800rpm. The pellet was carefully exposed by aspirating off the upper and lower layer.

## **3) Sperm Morphology**

The aliquots from raw semen, swim-up and Isolate gradient samples were taken for smears, dried in air and then fixed in 90% ethyl alcohol. The staining procedure was Diff Quick stain. The sperm were calculated using SAIS semen analyzer according to Kurger's strict criteria<sup>11)</sup>.

In total more than 400 sperm were observed. The sperm was considered normal when normal morphology of head, neck and tail of sperm was more than 14%. That is the head should be smooth and oval with the long axis measuring 5-6 $\mu$ m and short axis measuring 2.5-3.5 $\mu$ m; the acrosome should be well defined and constitute 40-70% of the head; midpiece should be slender; the tail should be uniform, uncoiled, thinner than the midpiece, and approximately 45 $\mu$ m in length. There should be no cytoplasmic droplets larger than one-half the area of the head. There should be no midpiece or tail defects.

## **4) Cryopreservation of sperm**

The cryopreservation procedure was initiated by adjusting the chamber temperature to +20 . As soon as the last straw was loaded and sealed with powder, the cooling procedure was started. Straws placed in the freezing chamber(+20 ) and cooled at 2

/min to -7 , then held for 10min and cooled at -10 /min to -80 and held for 10min at -80 . Then they were transferred to a pre-preserved marked gobblet in an LN<sub>2</sub> tank.

### **5) Thawing of sperm**

A cryoprotectant(TYB) was added to the sperm samples that were treated by the swim-up and Isolate mentods. The ratio of sample to TYB solution was 1:1. TYB solution was added gently along the wall of the tube and mixed gently. The sperm and TYB solution underwent the cryopreservation procedure. The straw was removed from the LN2 tank and held in air for 30 sec, then plunged into a 37 water bath for 40-50sec. The straw was removed from the water bath and wiped dry and cut seals off ends of the straw. The contents were gently expelled into pre-warmed media(Ham's F10 + 10% SSS) in a tube. The mixture was centrifuged at 2000rpm for 10 minutes. After 30 min, the sample was analyzed.

### **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was carried out using the SPSS PC<sup>+</sup> statistical package at a 5% level of significance. Differences across all parameters were analysed with Student's paired t-test.

## Results

Compared to the raw samples, the sperm concentration in the swim-up samples was significantly smaller than that in the Isolate sample. The percentage of progressive motility was greater in the swim-up than in the Isolate samples. Individual variation in motility was higher in the Isolate gradient than swim-up after sperm preparation (SD:  $\pm 7.9, 27.9$ , respectively). Of the movement characteristics of sperm including VCL, VSL, VAP, Linearity and ALH, VCL, VAP and ALH were significantly greater in the swim-up samples than in the Isolate gradient samples. The percentage of strict morphology was significantly increased in the swim-up, but all the strict morphology levels after both procedures fell within the normal range. (Table 1)

Comparisons of the two sperm preparation methods after freeze-thawing are summarized in Table 2. After freeze-thawing, the concentration of sperm did not significantly change in either preparation method. The percentage of progressive motility was greater in swim-up than in the Isolate gradient after freeze-thawing, but the difference before and after the procedure was not significant. VCL, VSL and ALH decreased more significantly in the swim-up procedure after freeze-thawing. The strict morphology in swim-up decreased from  $53.7 \pm 6.8\%$  before freezing to  $44.0 \pm 3.4\%$  postthawing and in the Isolate gradient from  $50.3 \pm 9.1\%$  to  $37.5 \pm 5.1\%$ , but the changes of sperm parameters of both preparation methods after the postthawing procedure were not significant ( $8.6 \pm 6.6$ ,  $12.8 \pm 8.5$ , respectively). (Table 2)

## **Discussion**

Many groups currently use two methods for the separation of motile spermatozoa, swim-up and Percoll gradient, and the the results has been debated.

Recently, it has been reported that Percoll gradient is no longer recommended for use in Assisted Reproduction procedures in humans. Percoll uses silica particles coated with PVP. It is slightly toxic and tends to loosen from the silica in salt solution. It can create a genetic problems. Therefore it is necessary to substitute Percoll for other equivalent materials. Thus, in this study we used the Isolate gradient method instead of Percoll. Isolate , a density gradient(50%, 90%), is a processed colloidal suspension of silica particles stabilized with covalently bound hydrophilic silane in a HEPES-buffered HTF. Isolate gradient is less harmful than Percoll in the preparation of sperm. Our study compared swim-up and Isolate gradient procedures for sperm preparation on the sperm parameters, strict morphology and also their changes after freeze-thawing with the two methods on normal sperm.

The percentage of progressive motility was greater in normal sperm prepared by the swim-up than in sperm prepared by the Isolate procedure. These findings agree with the results of Chen et al(1995)<sup>7)</sup> and Englert et al(1995)<sup>8)</sup>. But Moohan et al(1995)<sup>4)</sup> reported that the Percoll gradient method selected spermatozoa with better motion characteristics, more hyperactivation, and improved longevity, compared with swim-up. Van der Zwalmen et al(1991)<sup>5)</sup> reported that the sperm selected by Percoll gradient resulted in higher pregnancy rate than swim-up in IVF. However, other investigators did not confirm the benefit of the Percoll gradient in IUI and IVF<sup>8)11)</sup>. The reasons for the varied results are unclear, but Chen et al(1995)<sup>7)</sup> suggested it may be related to the variable methods in the Percoll gradient procedure.

Strict morphology as assessed by strict criteria is a good predictor of oocyte fertilization. This method can be recommended as the method of choice for assisted reproductive technology laboratories<sup>13-14</sup>). Some authors reported Percoll gradient separated more normal forms than the swim-up procedure<sup>4-6</sup>). However, some authors found that the swim-up technique was significantly superior for selection of normal forms than the Percoll gradient technique<sup>8)15</sup>). Our results showed that the SM after the swim-up and Isolate gradient procedure, even though freeze-thawing was performed, did not significantly decrease. These differences can be explained either by the lack of uniformity in the Percoll gradient preparation, or by the classification used to describe morphology.

The VSL, VSL, VAP, and ALH of sperm were significantly greater with the swim-up than with Isolate gradient procedure, and similar to results reported by Chen et al(1995)<sup>7</sup>). After freeze-thawing procedures, the difference of decreased sperm movement characteristics were greater in swim-up, but the value itself was greater in swim-up procedure. These movement characteristics are reported to be a better predictor of the fertility outcome<sup>16</sup>). From this point of view, the swim-up procedure selects sperm with superior quality from normal sperm. But these results do not indicate that Isolate gradient procedure can be related nuclear DAN damage.

Cyropreservation is known to impair sperm motility and morphology<sup>9-10</sup>). Postthaw sperm survival was about 52%<sup>17</sup>). Our results appear to reflect this. The postthaw sperm survival in swim-up and Isolate gradient procedure was 48% and 52%, respectively.(the data is not shown).

Hammadeh et al(1990)<sup>18)</sup> reported that the freeze-thawing procedure significantly affects sperm morphology. However, our study showed that there after postthawing, strict morphology tended to decrease, but the decreased levels were within normal levels. Comparison between the two preparation methods showed no significant changes observed with regard to strict morphology after cryopreservation.

We can draw limited valid conclusions from our study because the number of cases presented here were too small and were not compared with abnormal sperm. Such a study including abnormal sperm is currently under way in our clinic.

In conclusion, in normal sperm, the sperm collected by the swim up procedure was of higher quality regarding motility than that prepared by the Isolate gradient method. But the changes of sperm parameters after freeze-thawing by two preparation methods was not significantly difference. The strict morphology was also not significantly impaired after cryopreservation.

## Reference

1. Russell LD, Rogers BJ. Improvement in the quality and fertilization potential of a human sperm population using the rise technique. *J Androl* 1987;8:25-33.
2. Pickering SJ, Fleming TP, Braude PR, Bolton VN, Gresham GAG. Are human spermatozoa separated on a Percoll density gradient safe for therapeutic use? *Fertil Steril* 1982;37:104-107.
3. Quinlivan WT, Preciado K, Lorraine TL, Sullivan H. Separation of human X and Y spermatozoa by albumin gradients and Sephadex chromatography. *Fertil Steril*. 1982 ;37:104-107.
4. Moohan JM, Lindsay KS. Spermatozoa selected by a discontinuous Percoll density gradient exhibit better motion characteristics, more hyperactivation, and longer survival than direct swim-up. *Fertil Steril* 1995;64:160-165.
5. Van derwalmen P, Bertin-Segal G, Geerts L, Debauche C, Schoysman R. Sperm morphology and IVF pregnancy rate: Comparison between Percoll gradient centrifugation and swim-up procedures. *Hum Reprod* 1991;6:581-588
6. Prakash P, Leykin L, Chen Z, Toth T, Sayegh R, Schiff I, Isaacson K. Preparation by differential gradient centrifugation is better than swim-up in selecting sperm with normal morphology(strict criteria). *Fertil Steril* 1998;69:722-726.

7. Chen Su, Ho HN, Chen HF, Chao KH, Lin HR, Huang SC, Lee TY, Yang YS. Comparison between a two-layer discontinuous Percoll gradient and swim-up for sperm preparation on normal and abnormal semen samples. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 1995;12:698-703.

8. Englert Y, Van den Bergh M, Rodesch C, Bertrand E, Biramane J, Legreve A. Comparative auto-controlled study between swim-up and Percoll preparation of fresh semen samples for in-vitro fertilization. *Hum Reprod* 1992;7:399-402
9. Alvarez JG, Storey BT. Evidence of increased lipid peroxidative damage and loss of superoxide dismutase activity as a mode of sub-lethal cryodamage to human sperm during cryopreservation. *J Androl* 1992;13:232-241
10. Hammadme ME, Ashari AS, Georg T, Rosenbaum P, Schmidt W. Effect of freeze-thawing procedure on chromatin stability, morphological alteration and membrane integrity of human spermatozoa in fertile and subfertile men. *Int J Androl* 1999;22:155-62.
11. Kruger TF, Menkveld R, Stander FSH, Lombard CJ, Van der Merwe, Van Zyl JA, Smith K. Sperm morphologic features as a prognostic factor in in vitro fertilization. *Fertil Steril* 1986;46:1118-1123.
12. Dodson WC, Moessner J, Miller J, Legro RS, Gnatuk CL. A randomized comparison of the methods of sperm preparation for intrauterine insemination. *Fertil Steril* 1998;70:574-5.
13. Grow DR, Oehninger S, Seltman HJ, Toner JP, Swanson RJ, Kruger TF, Muasher SJ. Sperm morphology as diagnosed by strict criteria: probing the impact of teratozoospermia on fertilization rate and pregnancy outcome in a large in vitro fertilization population. *Fertil Steril* 1994;62:559-567.
14. Yang YS, Chen AU, Ho HN, Chen HF, Chao KH, Lin HR, Huang SC, Lee TY. Correlation between sperm morphology using strict criteria in original semen and swim-up inseminate and human in vitro fertilization. *Arch Androl* 1995;34:105-113.

15. Menkveld R, Swanson RJ, Kotze TJ, Kruger TF. Comparison of a discontinuous Percoll gradient method versus a swim-up method: Effects on sperm morphology and other semen parameters. *Andrologia* 1990;22:152-158.

16. Marshburn PB, Mcintire D, Carr BR, Byrd W. Spermatozoal characteristics from fresh and frozen donor semen and their correlation with fertility outcome after intrauterine insemination. *Fertil Steril* 1992;58:179-186.

17. Yin HZ, Seibel MM. Human sperm cryobanking. use of modified liquid nitrogen vapor. *J Reprod Med* 1999;44:87-90.

18. Hammadeh ME, Askari AS, Georg T, Rosenbaum P, Schmidt W. Effect of freeze-thawing procedure on chromatin stability, morphological alteration and membrane integrity of human spermatozoa in fertile and subfertile men. *Int J Androl* 1999;22:155-162.

Table 1. Comparison of sperm parameters between Swim-up and

|                             | Isolate procedure(n=20) |                         |            |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
|                             | Raw                     | Swim-up                 | Isolate    |
| Conc.(x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml) | 106.7 ±35.1             | 51.2±40.1 <sup>*</sup>  | 156.6±64.3 |
| Motility(%)                 | 59.9±14.7               | 86.2±7.9 <sup>*</sup>   | 70.9±27.9  |
| VCL(um/s)                   | 35.4 ±9.4               | 63.4 ±16.0 <sup>*</sup> | 39.3±18.8  |
| VSL(um/s)                   | 17.1 ±6.2               | 36.6±10.3               | 19.5±11.5  |
| VAP(um/s)                   | 24.6 ±6.9               | 44.4 ±10.9 <sup>*</sup> | 26.6±13.9  |
| Linearity                   | 48.0±7.8                | 58.4±10.6 <sup>*</sup>  | 47.1 ±10.5 |
| ALH(um)                     | 3.3±0.6                 | 4.6±1.1 <sup>*</sup>    | 3.5±1.1    |
| SM(%)                       | 43.3 ±7.1               | 53.7 ±6.8 <sup>*</sup>  | 50.3±9.1   |

Values are mean ± SEM

\* p <0.05. compared with raw sperm

Table 2. Effect of the freeze-thawing procedure on the sperm parameters in swim-up and

|                             | Isolate gradient procedures.(n=20) |             |                         |                       |            |                        |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------------------|
|                             | Swim-up                            | Isolate     | Thawing<br>(swim-up)    | Thawing<br>(Isolate ) | swim-up    | Isolate                |
| Conc.(x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml) | 51.2 ±40.1                         | 156.6 ±64.3 | 30.7 ±13.9              | 115.4 ±47.7           | 24.5 ±35.9 | 48.7 ±62.3             |
| Motility(%)                 | 86.2 ±7.9                          | 70.9 ±27.9  | 41.5 ±11.7 <sup>†</sup> | 37.2 ±29.3            | 44.7 ±12.8 | 33.6 ±19.4             |
| VCL(um/s)                   | 63.4 ±16.0                         | 39.3 ±18.8  | 34.6 ±9.8 <sup>†</sup>  | 29.6 ±10.8            | 18.8 ±14.5 | 9.7 ±11.7 <sup>‡</sup> |
| VSL(um/s)                   | 36.6 ±10.3                         | 19.5 ±11.5  | 18.1 ±5.9 <sup>†</sup>  | 13.4 ±6.9             | 18.5 ±12.0 | 6.1 ±7.4 <sup>‡</sup>  |
| VAP(um/s)                   | 44.4 ±10.9                         | 26.6 ±13.9  | 22.5 ±7.1 <sup>†</sup>  | 17.9 ±8.4             | 21.8 ±12.0 | 8.7 ±8.7               |
| Linearity                   | 58.4 ±10.6                         | 47.1 ±10.5  | 51.1 ±9.0               | 42.6 ±10.5            | 7.0 ±12.0  | 4.5 ±7.0               |
| ALH(um)                     | 4.6 ±1.1                           | 3.5 ±1.1    | 3.1 ±0.5 <sup>†</sup>   | 3.0 ±0.6              | 1.4 ±0.7   | 0.5 ±0.8 <sup>‡</sup>  |
| SM(%)                       | 53.7 ±6.8                          | 50.3 ±9.1   | 44.0 ±3.4 <sup>†</sup>  | 37.5 ±5.1             | 8.6 ±6.6   | 12.8 ±8.5              |

Values are mean ± SEM

<sup>†</sup> p<0.05. compared with two sperm preparation methods

<sup>‡</sup> p <0.05. compared with difference of two sperm preparation methods after thawing

**Isolate gradient swim-up :**

: Isolate gradient swim-up (strict morphology)

: 20 가

, TYB , Magic cryo  
SPSS PC+(version 7.0)

p<0.05 .

: Isolate gradient swim-up  
(51.2±40.1, 156.6±64.3). VCL, VSL, VAP, Linearity, ALH swim  
swim-up Isolate gradient 가  
(53.7±6.8 vs 50.3±9.1%). - 가

swim-up , Isolate gradient  
swim-up .(12.8±8.5 vs  
8.6±6.6)

: swim-up Isolate gradient  
, - 가

**Key words: swim-up, Isolate gradient, Sperm Cryopreservation**

